

Government of UP
Industrial Development Department; Section-4
No. 6059/77-4-25 /01 Appeal/25
Lucknow: Dated 05.12.2025
M/s Kinzo Infosoft Pvt. Ltd. Revisionist
Vs
NOIDA Authority Respondent

Case called out. Sri R. K. Manocha, revisionist is present in person and his advocate Sri Kartikey Dubey is present through VC. Mr Krishna Karunesh, ACEO Noida Authority who is also present through VC.

The dispute is in respect of Plot No. 10, Sector 127 admeasuring 4500.22 sq.m. which was allotted for construction of an office building.

It appears that the plot in question was subject of CBI enquiry. The original file regarding the plot in question was submitted to CBI for the purposes of aiding the said investigation and has not been returned to the Respondent Authority as yet.

The Revisionist had applied for the Change in Constitution (CIC) in order for them to start construction on the said project. This application was not disposed of by the Respondent Authority on grounds that the original file relating to the allotment was not available to them. However, the non-availability of the file was no impediment to the Authority in passing the cancellation order. It is difficult to justify the dual standards adopted by the Respondent Authority in disposing of the matters related to the same plot.

The matter is remanded back to the Authority with a direction to attend to the grievances of the Revisionist, preferably in a period of 4 weeks from the date of passing of this order. Revision decided accordingly.

Sd/-
(Alok Kumar)
Additional Chief Secretary

Letter No. 6059/77-4-25 /01 Appeal/25 Dated: As above

1. Chief Executive Officer, Noida
2. M/s Kinzo Infosoft Pvt. Ltd. (Email: kartikeydubey.adv@gmail.com)
3. Director I.T. Invest U.P. – to upload it on Department's website
4. Guard File.

Order by

N. Shukla

(Nirmesh Kumar Shukla)
Deputy Secretary